1	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP		
2	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS (213113) MONIQUE C. WINKLER (213031)		
3	AELISH M. BAIG (201279)		
4	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111		
5	Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)		
6	shawnw@lerachlaw.com moniquew@lerachlaw.com		
7	aelishb@lerachlaw.com – and –		
8	TRAVIS E. DOWNS III (148274) BENNY C. GOODMAN III (211302)		
9	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101		
10	Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)		
	travisd@lerachlaw.com		
11	bennyg@lerachlaw.com		
12	[Proposed] Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	*E-FILED - 1/22/07*	
13	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
16	ROBERT LYNCH, Derivatively on Behalf of ) FINISAR CORPORATION, )	No. C-06-07660-RMW	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES AND	
18	į į	APPOINTING LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND LEAD COUNSEL	
19	VS. )	LEAD COUNSEL	
20	JERRY S. RAWLS, et al.,		
21	Defendants, )		
22	- and - )		
23	FINISAR CORPORATION, a Delaware ) corporation, )		
24	Nominal Defendant.		
25			
26	[Caption continued on following page.]		
27			
28			

# Case 5:06-cv-07661-RMW Document 7 Filed 01/22/07 Page 2 of 10

		N G 04 0 <b>5</b> 444 <b>D) 6</b> 14
1	LYNN SHORT, Derivatively on Behalf of FINISAR CORPORATION,	No. C-06-07661-RMW
2	Plaintiff,	)
3	vs.	) )
4	JERRY S. RAWLS, et al.,	) )
5	Defendants,	)
6	– and –	
7		
8	FINISAR CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	) )
9	Nominal Defendant.	
10	CITY OF WORCESTER RETIREMENT	No. C-06-07848-RMW
11	SYSTEM, Derivatively on Behalf of Nominal Defendant FINISAR CORPORATION,	)
12	Plaintiff,	) )
13	vs.	) )
14	JERRY S. RAWLS, et al.	)
15	Defendants,	) )
16	– and –	
17	FINISAR CORPORATION,	)
18	Nominal Defendant.	)
19		)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

WHEREAS, there are three related shareholder derivative actions on behalf of nominal defendant Finisar Corporation ("Finisar") pending in this district:

Abbreviated Case Name	Case Number	<b>Date Filed</b>
Lynch v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07660-RMW	December 14, 2006
Short v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07661-RMW	December 14, 2006
City of Worcester Retirement System v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07848-RMW	December 22, 2006

WHEREAS, the three related shareholder derivative actions arise out of the same transactions and occurrences and involve the same or substantially similar issues of law and fact, and, therefore, should be consolidated for all purposes under Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a);

WHEREAS, on December 22, 2006, plaintiff City of Worcester Retirement System filed a Notice of Related Case and Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related with respect to the *Lynch and City of Worcester* cases referenced above;<sup>1</sup>

WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiffs and defendants in all of the above-referenced actions have met and conferred and agreed the cases should be related and consolidated;

WHEREAS, after meeting and conferring, all named plaintiffs agree that Lynn Short ("Short") and City of Worcester Retirement System should be appointed lead plaintiffs and Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP ("Lerach Coughlin") and Saxena White P.A. should be appointed co-lead counsel;

WHEREAS, defendants take no position as to the appointment of Short and City of Worcester Retirement System as lead plaintiffs and Lerach Coughlin and Saxena White P.A. as colead counsel; and

WHEREAS, the agreed-upon schedule set forth below is not for the purpose of delay, promotes judicial efficiency, and will not cause prejudice to any party.

Due to a typographical error, the name of plaintiff City of Worcester Retirement System was misspelled in the caption of its complaint ("Worchester" was inadvertently used instead of the correct "Worcester").

their respective counsel of record, as follows:

#### **CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS**

THEREFORE, IT IS STIPULATED AND AGREED by plaintiff and defendants, through

 1. The following actions are hereby consolidated for all purposes, including pretrial proceedings, trial and appeal:

7 | 1

<b>Abbreviated Case Name</b>	Case Number	<b>Date Filed</b>
Lynch v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07660-RMW	December 14, 2006
Short v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07661-RMW	December 14, 2006
City of Worcester Retirement System v. Rawls, et al.	C-06-07848-RMW	December 22, 2006

- 2. The caption of these consolidated actions shall be "In re Finisar Corp. Derivative Litigation" and the files of these consolidated actions shall be maintained in one file under Master File No. C-06-07660-RS. Any other actions now pending or later filed in this Court which arise out of or are related to the same facts as alleged in the above-identified cases shall be consolidated for all purposes, if and when they are brought to the Court's attention.
- 3. Every pleading filed in the consolidated actions, or in any separate action included herein, shall bear the following caption:

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### SAN JOSE DIVISION

In re FINISAR CORP. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	) Master File No. C-06-07660-RMW
This Document Relates To:	_) ) )

4. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to all actions governed by this Order, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption set out above. When a pleading is intended to be applicable to only some, but not all, of the consolidated actions, this Court's docket number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable and the abbreviated case name of said action shall appear immediately

13

11

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

after the words "This Document Relates To:" in the caption described above (e.g., "No. C-06-07660-RS, Lynch v. Rawls, et al.").

- 5. A Master Docket and a Master File hereby are established for the above consolidated proceedings and for all other related cases filed in or transferred to this Court. Separate dockets shall continue to be maintained for each of the individual actions hereby consolidated, and entries shall be made in the docket of each individual case in accordance with the regular procedures of the clerk of this Court, except as modified by this Order.
- 6. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is applicable to "All Actions," the clerk shall file such pleading in the Master File and note such filing on the Master Docket. No further copies need be filed, and no other docket entries need be made.
- 7. When a pleading is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of the consolidated actions, the clerk will file such pleading in the Master File only but shall docket such filing on the Master Docket and the docket of each applicable action.
- 8. When a case which properly belongs as part of In re Finisar Corp., Derivative Litigation is filed in this Court or transferred to this Court from another court and assigned to the Honorable Richard Seeborg, the clerk of this Court shall:
  - Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such action; (a)
- (b) Mail to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly-filed or transferred case a copy of this Order and direct that this Order be served upon or mailed to any new defendant(s) or their counsel in the newly-filed or transferred case; and
- (c) Make an appropriate entry on the Master Docket. This Court requests the assistance of counsel in calling to the attention of the clerk of this Court the filing or transfer of any case which properly might be consolidated as part of *In re Finisar Corp. Derivative Litigation*.

#### **SCHEDULE**

9. Plaintiffs shall no later than 45 days from the entry of an Order appointing lead plaintiffs and lead counsel in this action, file and serve a Consolidated Complaint which will supersede all existing complaints filed in these actions. Defendants need not respond to any of the

1	pre-existing complaints. Service shall be eff	ected with respect to defendants by serving the	
2	Consolidated Complaint on counsel for defendants.		
3	10. Defendants shall answer or others	wise respond to the Consolidated Complaint no later	
4	than 45 days from the date of service. In the ever	nt that defendants file and serve any motion directed	
5	at the Consolidated Complaint, plaintiffs shall file and serve their opposition within 45 days after the		
6	service of the motion. If defendants file and serve a reply to plaintiff's opposition, they will do so		
7	within 30 days after service of the opposition.		
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
9	DATED: January 9, 2007	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP	
10	ll .	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS MONIQUE C. WINKLER	
11		AELISH M. BAIG	
12			
13		/s/ AELISH M. BAIG	
14		100 Pine Street, Suite 2600	
15		San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545	
16		415/288-4534 (fax)	
17		LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP	
18		TRAVIS E. DOWNS III BENNY C. GOODMAN III	
19		655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101	
20		Telephone: 619/231-1058 619/231-7423 (fax)	
21		LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER	
22		RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP THOMAS G. WILHELM	
23		9601 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 510 Los Angeles, CA 90210	
24		Telephone: 310/859-3100 310/278-2148 (fax)	
25		Counsel for Plaintiffs Lynn Short and Robert	
26		Lynch and [Proposed] Čo-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
27			
28			

# Case 5:06-cv-07661-RMW Document 7 Filed 01/22/07 Page 7 of 10

1	DATED: January 9, 2007	SAXENA WHITE P.A. MAYA SAXENA
2		JOSEPH E. WHITE
3		
4		/S/
5		MAYA SAXENA
6		5200 Town Center Circle, Suite 600 Boca Raton, FL 33486
7		Telephone: 954/701-3965 888/782-3081 (fax)
8		Counsel for Plaintiff City of Worcester
9		Retirement System and [Proposed] Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
10	I, Aelish M. Baig, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this	
11	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES AND APPOINTING	
12	LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND LEAD COUNSEL. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I	
13	hereby attest that Maya Saxena has concurred	in this filing.
14	DATED: January 9, 2007	DLA PIPER DAVID A. PRIEBE
15		DAVID A. I KILDL
16		
17		DAVID A. PRIEBE
18		2000 University Avenue
19		East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: 650/833-2000
20		650/833-2001 (fax)
21	Attorneys for Defendants  I, Aelish M. Baig, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES AND APPOINTING LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND LEAD COUNSEL. In compliance with General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that David A. Priebe has concurred in this filing.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
27 28		

## Case 5:06-cv-07661-RMW Document 7 Filed 01/22/07 Page 8 of 10

1	* * *	
2	ORDER	
3	Having considered the parties' Stipulation, and good cause appearing, the Court hereby	
4	GRANTS the parties' Stipulation.	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	DATED:1/22/07 Konald M. Whyte	
7	THE HONORABLE RONALD M. WHYTE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8	T:\CasesSF\Finisar Deriv\stp00037807.doc	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

# 

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 9, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the attached Manual Notice List.

/S/

## AELISH M. BAIG

LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA GELLER RUDMAN & ROBBINS LLP

100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: 415/288-4545 415/288-4534 (fax)

E-mail: aelishb@lerachlaw.com

# Mailing Information for a Case 5:06-cv-07660-RS

## **Electronic Mail Notice List**

The following are those who are currently on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case.

- Travis E. Downs, III travisd@lerachlaw.com e\_file\_sd@lerachlaw.com
- William S. Lerach e\_file\_sf@lerachlaw.com
- Darren J. Robbins
- Seth A. Safier seth@gutridesafier.com
- Shawn A. Williams shawnw@lerachlaw.com
  e\_file\_sd@lerachlaw.com;e\_file\_sf@lerachlaw.com;AelishB@lerachlaw.com;Monie

## **Manual Notice List**

The following is the list of attorneys who are **not** on the list to receive e-mail notices for this case (who therefore require manual noticing). You may wish to use your mouse to select and copy this list into your word processing program in order to create notices or labels for these recipients.

• (No manual recipients)